Doc Code: AP.PRE.REQ

PTO/SB/33 (07-05)

Approved for use through xx/xx/200x, OMB 0651-00xx

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR REVIEW		Docket Number (Optional)		
		REICHSTEIN		
		REICHSTEIN		
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail	Application Number		Filed	
in an envelope addressed to "Mail Stop AF. Commissioner for	10/603,459		June 25,	2003
Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexendria, VA 22313-1450/13//CFR 1.8(a)] December // 12/ // 2/0 6/5			buile 25,	2003
on	First Named Inventor			
Signature	Ulrich Reichstein et al.			
	Art Unit	E	xaminer	
Typed or printed HENRY M. FEIEREISEN name	4722	2	Heitbrink,	T. W.
U V		<u> </u>		
Applicant requests review of the final rejection in the above-identified application. No amendments are being filed with this request.				
				:
,				
This request is being filed with a notice of appeal.				
The control of the co				
The review is requested for the reason(s) stated on the attached sheet(s). Note: No more than five (5) pages may be provided.				
the man state more (e), pages may be provided	.	1		
		///	1 11111	(
			/	_
I am the				
applicant/inventor.		9MM	and the second	
assignee of record of the entire interest.	****		ignature	
See 37 CFR 3.71. Statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b) is enclosed.	HENŘY M. FEIEREISEN			
(Form PTO/SB/96)	Typed or printed name			
attorney or agent of record. 31,084 Registration number	(2	(212) 244-5500		
	· ——	Teleph	none number	
attorney or agent acting under 37 CFR 1.34.	12	1-12-2001		
Registration number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34	<u> </u>		Date	
*				
NOTE: Signatures of all the inventors or assignees of record of the entire interest or their representative(s) are required. Submit multiple forms if more than one signature is required, see below*.				
coonstruction of the signature is required, see below.				
3				

This collection of information is required by 35 U.S.C. 132. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11, 1.14 and 41.6. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

forms are submitted.

Appl. No.: 10/603,459

ARGUMENTS ACCOMPANYING THE PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR **REVIEW**

The present invention, as set forth in claim 1, is directed to an injection molding machine with a machine control, and electric components, whereby the machine control and the electric components are operatively connected in wireless mode. In response to the first Office Action, dated March 9, 2005, claim 1 was amended to set forth that the machine control as well as the electric components are internal parts of the injection molding machine. Claim 1 has been finally rejected by the Examiner pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §112, first and second paragraphs because the reference to "internal" is considered "new matter" and considered "indefinite".

ISSUE 1:

Rejection of Claims 1-4 under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph

CLEAR ERROR IN THE EXAMINER'S REJECTION: Interpretation of the reference to "internal" as new matter.

Reference is made to page 6, first paragraph, of applicant's previous communication, filed July 5, 2005.

Reference is made to paragraph [0003] of the instant specification, noting the connection between the electric components to a machine control and/or to an external computer. This paragraph distinguishes between the parts of the injection molding machine, namely electric components and machine control and an external part, namely external computer. Thus, while not expressly referring to "internal", it is appellant's contention that this paragraph unambiguously implies that the electric components and the machine control are "internal" parts.

Reference is made to paragraph [0011] of the instant specification and claim 4, which set forth the provision of an external device. The express Docket No.: REICHSTEIN Appl. No.: 10/603,459

reference to an external device, again, is intended to distinguish from the "internal" parts, set forth in claim 1. Otherwise the use of "external" would serve no purpose.

Reference is made to paragraph [0017] of the instant specification, listing plural components, including machine control and electric components, of the injection molding machine that are provided on the machine (line 5, of paragraph [0017]). An example of an electric component operatively connected to the machine control is a temperature sensor (paragraph [0018]) for measuring the temperature of plastic melt in the hot runner of the injection molding machine. Although not stated expressly, the temperature sensor clearly constitutes an "internal" part of the injection molding machine.

In summary, ample support can be found inherently in the original specification for the term "internal". (see *In re* Nathan, 328 F.2d 1005, 140 USPQ 601 (C.C.P.A. 1964), stating that a "subsequent clarification of or a change in an original disclosure does not necessarily make that original disclosure fatally defective."). It is applicant's belief that the instant specification satisfies the requirement under section 112, first paragraph.

For the reasons set forth above, it is respectfully requested to reverse the rejection of claim 1 and all claims dependent on claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph.

ISSUE 2:

Rejection of Claims 1-4 under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph

CLEAR ERROR IN THE EXAMINER'S REJECTION: Reference to "internal" renders claim 1 indefinite.

As discussed under the previous heading, there is ample support in the original specification for the term "internal" in connection with the machine control and electric components as forming internal parts of the injection molding machine. Nothing in the disclosure suggests any reference to a "room", as the

Docket No.: REICHSTEIN

, Appl. No.: 10/603,459

Examiner noted. The inherency of the term "internal" as well as the actual use of

the term "external" are unambiguous, and clearly relate to the injection molding

machine, namely as part thereof ("internal") or to areas remote to the injection

molding machine ("external").

For the reasons set forth above, it is respectfully requested to reverse the

rejection of claim 1 and all claims dependent on claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. §112,

second paragraph.

ISSUE 3:

Rejection of Claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. §102(b)

CLEAR ERROR IN THE EXAMINER'S REJECTION: Absence of each and every

element of the claimed invention in a single prior art reference disclosure.

Reference is made to page 6, second and third paragraphs and page 7, of

applicant's previous communication, filed July 5, 2005.

Claim 1 sets forth the wireless communication between machine-internal

parts, namely machine control and electric components. This claim limitation is

neither expressly nor inherently disclosed in the applied prior art.

For the reasons set forth above, it is respectfully requested to reverse the

rejection of claim 1 and all claims dependent on claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. §102(b).

Respectfully submitted,

Bv:

Henry M. Feiereisen

Agent for appellant Reg. No. 31,084

Date: December 12, 2005 350 Fifth Avenue, Suite 4714

New York, N.Y. 10118

(212) 244-5500

HMF:af

3